IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

HOPE STEVENS,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No. 23-cv-1977-SMY
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,)))
Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

YANDLE, District Judge:

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1383(c), Plaintiff Hope Stevens seeks judicial review of a final decision by the Commissioner of the United States Social Security Administration denying her claim for social security benefits (Doc. 2). Now pending before the Court is Stevens' motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") without prepaying the filing fee (Doc. 3).

28 U.S.C. § 1915 authorizes a federal district court to allow an indigent plaintiff to proceed in a civil action without prepaying the filing fees if the plaintiff submits an affidavit of poverty stating that he or she is unable to afford the fees. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The statute also requires the Court to scrutinize the Complaint filed by an indigent plaintiff and to dismiss it if (a) the allegation of poverty is untrue; (b) the action is frivolous or malicious; (c) the action fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; or (d) the action seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). While § 1915 generally applies to *pro se* litigants, SDIL Local Rule 3.1(c)(1) contemplates that pauper status may also be granted to an applicant who is represented by an attorney.

Stevens has submitted the requisite affidavit which indicates that she is currently

unemployed and has no personal source of income. Under these circumstances, the \$402.00 filing

fee presents a significant hardship, and the Court cannot conclude that Stevens' allegation of

poverty is "untrue." Thus, the Court finds her to be indigent within the meaning of § 1915(a)(1).

Moreover, the Court does not find that this action is frivolous or malicious. Stevens alleges that

she timely filed her appeal and that she has exhausted her administrative remedies. Stevens'

Complaint does not fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and the named Defendant

is not immune from suit for the requested relief. Accordingly, the motion for pauper status (Doc.

3) is **GRANTED**.

Typically, the Court would direct the Clerk's Office to prepare and issue summons for the

named defendant. However, Stevens is represented by counsel: Jennifer M. Van Fossan.

Therefore, the Court leaves to Counsel the responsibility of accomplishing service of process. If

Ms. Van Fossan believes that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) or Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3)

requires the United States Marshal to serve process in this case, she should immediately file a

motion with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 21, 2023

STACI M. YANDLE

United States District Judge

Stari H. Sandle

Page 2 of 2